4 · OCTOBER 3, 2014 THE LAWYERS WEEKLY

THE LAWYERS WEEKLY

MIOTATO

/ 29

Insurance defence lawyer Philippa Samworth, a partner at Dutton Brock, has been awarded this year's Advocates' Society Medal honouring her leadership and contributions to the profession and the community while serving as a member of the 5,000-member society drawn from Canada's bench and bar. Samworth, a certified specialist in civil litigation and a fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers, is a former president of the society and is chair of its

Guy Pratte, a partner at Borden Ladner Gervais in the firm's litigation and arbitration group, has been awarded the St. Yves Medal by Pro Bono Québec. Pratte, a inding member of Pro Bono Québec, was honoured at a ceremony for his longstanding commitment to pro bono work.

Brian Tabor, a partner at Stewart McKelvey in Halifax, was recently elected as a fellow of the American College of Mortgage Attorneys. Established in 1974, the ACMA is comprised of over 400 lawyers from North America with a focus in the mortgage law industry.expertise to medical malpractice lawyers and medical legal education to health-care professionals.

Calgary medical law firm CanLNC Experts will change its name to Connect Medical Legal Experts effective Oct. 15. The firm provides medical/legal expertise to medical malpractice lawyers and medical legal education to

Context, circumstances gain more weight

'Very, very deferential' stance seen by lawyer on appellate matters

KIM ARNOTT

While appellate courts have historically been willing to wade into issues of contractual interpretation, a recent Supreme Court of Canada ruling may cause a significant shift in the legal landscape, says contractual law expert Geoff Hall.

Hall, a partner with McCarthy Tétrault and author of Canadian Contractual Interpretation Law, said the high court's Aug. 1 decision in Sattva Capital Corp. v. Creston Moly Corp. [2014] S.C.J. No. 53 is likely to make it much more difficult to successfully appeal decisions of contractual interpretation. The court ruled that "contractual interpretation involves issues of mixed fact and law" and generally should attract a deferential standard of review.

The impact of the ruling can be clearly seen in a Sept. 10 decision by the Ontario Court of Appeal in Martenfeld v. Collins Barrow Toronto LLP [2014] O.J. No. 4195, said Hall.

"The Court of Appeal in the Martenfeld case shows a very, very deferential attitude and makes it clear they're not going to interfere with the decision at first instance unless there's a probable and overriding error," said Hall. "What's striking about that is that it's very different to the approach the Court of Appeal took just a short time ago."

While contracts were previously considered to be an issue of law, and therefore fair game for appellate court intervention, Sat-tva determined that context and circumstances are relevant facts in interpretation, he noted.

"From a litigator's perspective, it makes things interesting because your prospects of appealing if you've lost are much more limited than they previously were."





The Court of Appeal in the Martenfeld case shows a very, very deferential attitude and makes it clear they're not going to interfere with the decision at first instance unless there's a probable and overriding error.

Geoff Hall McCarthy Tétrault

In Martenfeld, a panel con-sisting of Justices David Doherty, Eleanore Cronk and William Hourigan largely upheld a 2013 ruling by now-deceased Superior Court Justice Joan Lax.

The case involved Marvin Martenfeld, an equity partner with accounting firm Collins Barrow



Toronto, who left the partnership to join a competing firm. Justice Lax was required to interpret a provision in the partnership agreement speaking to the financial implications of such a departure.

While the agreement required Martenfeld to pay liquidated damages of twice his "permanent capital," the accounting firm had made changes to its financial statements and terminology around partner capital without updating the agreement to reflect the changes.

Although the court was provided with evidence of proposed amendments to the partnership agreement, amendments were never

approved or implemented.

As a result of that and other financial arrangements within the firm, Justice Lax ruled that Martenfeld was owed \$260,778.25 upon his departure. The firm had argued that he should pay liquidated damages of \$134,787.

Despite the increasing empha-sis the courts have placed on the context surrounding the under-taking of a contract, this case demonstrates that circumstances aren't likely to overrule the actual wording of a contract, noted Luis Sarabia, a partner with Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg. "This was a case that begged for

the court to look at the surround-ing circumstances. (The firm) had circulated draft revisions to the partnership agreement and there were no objections. Everybody knew how things were sup-posed to work, but the court said, 'Look, that's not how they worked. Here's the contract.

"The accounting firm paid the price for not keeping their part-

nership agreement up to date."

Hall agreed. "Sattva says, and this Martenfeld decision confirms that when push comes to shove, and there is conflict between the language in the agreement and the surrounding circumstances, the language in the agreement is almost always going to prevail," he said.

Although the factual circumstances in the case may not readily lend themselves to a broader application, the lawyers agreed application, the lawyers agreed that the decision does speak to the need for partners to accur-ately reflect their arrangements in written contracts.

"These things are not just boilerplates to be ignored," advised Hall. "Both the accounting firms and the professionals who are in them should be paying attention to what these ments say."

warning translates beyond accounting firms, noted Sarabia. "The first thing that came to my mind, being a partner in a law firm, is just how horribly wrong these partnership disputes can go.

"It's another warning to profes-ional partnerships to ensure that their partnership agreements are always up to date and always consistent with the reality at the firm, including the financial statements."

THE LAWYERS WEEKLY

Publisher Ann McDonagi Editor In Chief Rob Kelly Senior Editor Matthew Grace, LL.B.

Focus Editor Richard Skinulis Ottawa Bureau Chief Cristin Schmitz

Production Co-ordinator Art / Production Designer

Correspondents
Kim Arnott, Toronto
Luigi Benetton, Toronto
Thomas Claridge, Toronto
Christopher Guly, Ottawa
Geoff Kirbyson, Winnipeg
Luis Millan, Montreal



HOW TO REACH US

Tel: (905) 479-2665 Fax: (905) 479-3758 Toll-free: 1-800-668-6481

Main Office

123 Commerce Valley Drive East Suite 700, Markham, ON L3T 7W8

Ottawa Bureau

c/o Parliamentary Press Gallery Rm 350-N, Centre Block Parliament Hill, Ottawa, ON KIA OA6 Tel: (613) 820-2794 Fax: (613) 995-5795

Website

Member, Ontario Press Council

2 Carlton Street, Suite 1706, Toronto, ON (416) 340-1981; Fax: (416) 340-8724

GST/HST/OST No.: R121051767

ADVERTISING

Advertising Sales Jim Grice (905) 415-5807 Ritu Harjai (905) 415-5804 Valery Salo (905) 415-5881

Advertising Traffic Co-ordinate Jackie D'Souza (905) 415-5801 Circulation Controller Scott Welsh (905) 479-2665, ext. 324

Print Subscription Rates

1 year (48 issues): \$305, plus tax 2 years (96 issues): \$520, plus tax 1 year U.S./international: \$420 1 year student: \$70, plus tax Individual copies: \$12, plus tax

Digital Subscription rates

1 year (48 issues): \$275, plus tax 2 years (96 issues): \$470, plus tax 1 year U.S./international: \$375 1 year student: \$60, plus tax

Postal Information: Please forward all The Lawyers Weekly, 123 Commerce Valley Drive East, Suite 700, Markham, ON L3T 7W8. Return postage guaranteed. ISSN 0830-0151. Publications Mail Sales Agreement Number: 40065517.

COPYRIGHT/TRADEMARK

COPYRIGHT/TRADEMARK
The Lawyers Weekly is published on Fridays,
48 times a year, by Lexis/Nexis Canada Inc.,
23 Commerce Valley Drive East, Suiter 700,
Markham, ON L.37 TWR. All rights reserved.
No part of this publication may be reproduced
in any material form (including photocopying
or storing it in any medium by electronic
means and whether or not transiently
or incidentally to some other use of this
publication) without the written permission publication) without the written permission of the copyright owner, except in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright Act. The Lawyers Weekly is a registered trademark of